PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

Translation

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference						
PF030134	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416				
International application No.	International filing date (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)				
PCT/EP2004/009039	12.08.2004	14.08.2003				
International Patent Classification (IPC) or H01L51/10, H01L27/1						
Applicant THOMSON LICENSING						
 This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36. 						
2. This REPORT consists of a total of 6 sheets, including this cover sheet.						
3. This report is also accompanied by	y ANNEXES, comprising:					
a. (sent to the applicant o	and to the International Bureau) a total of 🔼	sheets, as follows:				
		een amended and are the basis for this report and/or e Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative				
sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental						
Box.						
b (sent to the Internation	nal Bureau only) a total of (indicate type and nu	umber of electronic carrier(s))				
1.11		, containing a sequence listing and/or tables				
Section 802 of the Admi		applemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see				
4. This report contains indications re	elating to the following items:					
Box No. I Basis of	the report					
Box No. II Priority						
Box No. III Non-esta	ablishment of opinion with regard to novelty, in	eventive step and industrial applicability				
	unity of invention					
Box No. V Reasone						
Box No. VI Certain						
Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application						
Box No. VIII Certain						
Date of submission of the demand	Date of completion	of this report				
	Date of completion					
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/EP	Authorized officer	Authorized officer				

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/009039

Вох	No. I	Basis of the report					
1.		rd to the language, this report is based on the internation under this item.	nal application in the language in which	it was filed, unless otherwise			
	This report is based on translations from the original language into the following language which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of:						
		international search (Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b))					
		publication of the international application (Rule 12.4)	1				
	Ш	international preliminary examination (Rule 55.2 and/					
2.	With regard to the elements of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report):						
	\square	international application as originally filed/furnished description:					
		•		as originally filed/formished			
	pag		received by this Authority on	as originally filed/furnished			
	pag pag						
				* ***			
		claims:					
	nos		1.16	as originally filed/furnished			
	nos			any statement) under Article 19			
l	nos						
	nos		received by this Authority on				
		drawings:					
	she			as originally filed/furnished			
	she						
	she	ets*	received by this Authority on				
	∐ a se	quence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplem	ental Box Relating to Sequence Listing.				
3.	LI The	e amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:					
		the description, pages					
	the claims, nos.						
	the drawings, sheets/figs						
		the sequence listing (specify):		.			
		any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):					
4.		s report has been established as if (some of) the amend y have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as fil					
	the description, pages						
	the claims, nos.						
	the drawings, sheets/figs						
		the sequence listing (specify):					
	any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):						
*	If item 4	applies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "sup-	erseded."				

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/009039

Box No. V		Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement			
1.	Statement				
	Novelty ((N)	Claims	1-7	YES
			Claims		NO
	Inventive	step (IS)	Claims		YES
			Claims	1-7	NO
	Industria	l applicability (IA)	Claims	1-7	YES
			Claims		NO

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7)

The following communication refers to points I-VIII of the cover sheet, in which the corresponding boxes have been checked.

- 1. Reference is made to the following documents:
 D1 : US 2001/035713 A1 (KIMURA HAJIME) 1 November
 2001 (2001-11-01)
 - D2: US 2002/122642 A1 (KIJIMA YASUNORI) 5 September 2002 (2002-09-05)
- The amendments filed with the letter dated 14.07.2005 cause the subject matter of the application to be extended beyond the content of the application as filed. They are therefore contrary to the provisions of PCT Article 19(2). The amendments in question are as follows:
- 2.1 There is no support, in the application as filed, for the subject matter of claim 2, since the passage mentioned by the agent refers to the distance between the input regions and the cells, and not the light-emitting layers.

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/009039

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

- 2.2 The technical features of claim 5 have been removed from claim 4, which leads to an intermediate generalisation of the subject matter of claim 4 that is contrary to the provisions PCT Article 34(2)(b).
- 2.3. Consequently, claims 2 and 4 are not admissible.
- 3. The present application does not meet the requirements of PCT Article 33(3) because the subject matter of claim 1 (and claim 2, if it were admissible) does not involve an inventive step.
- The subject matter of claim 1 only differs from 3.1. the content of document D1 (see figures 4D and 5D in combination and the corresponding text; paragraph 64) in that the distance between the organic light-emitting layer and the extractor layer is less than 2 microns. Firstly, document D1 (see paragraph 64) already discloses that the extractor layer can be directly located on the passivation layer (511) and that the thickness of the electrode (510) is only of 0.3 microns (see paragraph 60). Even if no mention is made of the thickness of the passivation layer (511) (in this respect, it should be noted that, in the figure, the thickness of the layers 510 and 511 is substantially the same) reducing the thickness of organic light-emitting display (OLED) panels (and therefore the distance between the light-emitting layer and the extraction layer) is a permanent

PCT/EP2004/009039

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

objective for a person skilled in the art since it is one of the advantages of OLED panels (as opposed to LCD displays, for instance). It would therefore be obvious for a person skilled in the art to provide the smallest possible distance between the light-emitting layer and the extraction layer in the OLED panel disclosed in document D1, in particular a distance of less than 2 microns (this also applies to a distance of 1 micron: see claim 2, if it were admissible).

- The subject matter of claim 1 only differs from the content of document D2 (see figures 3-8 and the corresponding text), in that the distance between the organic light-emitting layer and the extractor layer is less than 2 microns. The above reasoning (see point 3.1 above) is all the more valid in view of the fact that, in document D2, the aim is to reduce as much as possible the roughness of the interface between the extractor layer and the OLED cells, and hence the distance from the OLED layer (this also applies to a thickness of 1 micron: see claim 2, if it were admissible).
- 3.3. Consequently, the subject matter of claim 1 does not involve an inventive step.
- 4. Dependent claims 3-7 do not contain any additional feature which, when combined with the subject matter of any of the claims to which they refer, might involve an inventive step (PCT Article 33)

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/009039

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement (3)), since they only describe design alternatives that are obvious to a person skilled in the art. 5. It would appear that what is attempted to be claimed in claim 5 is the shape of the extractor layer, which includes a narrower portion that forms the base, in direct engagement with the OLED cell, and widens (therefore forming the reflective surfaces) with the thickness to form a continuous outer surface serving as an encapsulation layer. Such a claim (which refers back to the current claim 1) should be clearly defined in order to meet the requirements of PCT Article 6.